

LMC 6310 Computer as Expressive Media

The Computer Expressive - 92002 - LMC 6310 - HCI
Fall 2021

Michael Nitsche		michael.nitsche@gatech.edu
Takeria Blunt		takeria.blunt@lmc.gatech.edu

Where? Skiles 346

When? Mo + Wed 3:30-4:20 + Fr 12:30-3:15

Nitsche office hours Mo 1-2 and online in Teams

Blunt office hours TBC

Outline

The question remains: How can we express ourselves through computers? This course invites students to approach computers and digital media as creative environments that allow us to explore and express ourselves. The focus is on a media-driven exploration and experimentation. This requires us to gain basic computational literacy and more importantly a critical perspective to digital practices. The course provides a critical making approach to digital media studies and should speak specifically to HCI students interested in media design.

This version of the course follows a media-centric approach. We will cover narrative, procedural, and performative media in multiple steps: First, we get an introduction to these media's specific elements. Then, we will question them and discuss how computation affects and challenges these qualities. We will conduct hands-on projects for each of these three domains, which will consist of various prototypes implemented for each stage of the course. The projects will inherently include forms of interaction design but the focus will remain on the media qualities and their adaptation.

Students will read selected foundational texts for specific media formats, present examples, engage in critical reflections, discuss the challenges at hand, and experiment with their own responses to them through the assignments. No coding or hardware experience is required to take the course but you will be introduced to P5.js, and Arduino.

Projects might require some additional purchases. This includes hardware prototyping kits for the Arduino. There is no single textbook and all readings will be online. We will use online tools to support collaboration as effectively as possible as this is a course that builds on active discussion and critical reflection.

Main Assignments

Critical analysis: Example presentation: students will present a sample project from the field; there will be three presentation slots but you will only present in one of them; students will sign up early in the term for "their" example; the goal is to present a particular digital media piece that lives in one of the three core domains we cover in the class; clarify the background, possibly the technology, and importantly: bring up critical questions for the class to discuss; share your thoughts with the whole class in a ppt presentation online

Delivery format: presentations will be live online to the class; if online" we will record each presentation and post on Canvas; each group member should present a part; length: ~ 15 min + q&a from instructor

You hand in: upload the ppt on Canvas

Making: Narrative Media: each student will develop individually a P5.js project; inspiration: consider your computer screen as a text-based scanner into a different time; what kind of story might you “tune in” using basic input? Minimum: a basic input (keys or mouse) that control an experimental text output; you can take the narrative text that we use as a shared source as an inspiration but feel free to develop your own concept; explore the technology and experiment with its effects on the piece; the goal is to show critical engagement through prototyping; provide a blog post that describes your idea, its development, and the final result

Delivery format: presentation in class; blog post; code

You hand in: project/ code on Canvas

Making: Procedural Media: each student will develop individually a P5.js project; inspiration: the pieces in the High Museum come to life in the middle of the night and what used to be fixed paintings, prints, texts, photographs, statues, objects etc. suddenly are procedurally altered; what form could a computer generate to deliver a different representation? the goal is to show critical engagement through prototyping; provide a blog post that describes your idea, its development, and the final result

Delivery format: presentation in class; blog post; code

You hand in: project/ code on Canvas

Making: Performative Media: (if feasible) instructors will put students into small groups and these groups will develop an Arduino project; the focus here is on building on embodied interaction in relation to the physical and digital parts; how does your design combine physical and digital media to turn the object into a performative one? You can combine technologies here if it is feasible; the goal remains to show critical engagement through prototyping; provide a substantial blog post that describes your idea, its development, and the final result; you also should create a short video that describes you project and the results as well as 10 or more images of process and 10 images or more of the result

Delivery format: presentation in class; blog post; code

You hand in: project/ code/ images/ video on Canvas

Participation: active in discussions; attendance (please note: attendance is only the basis for participation); at different junction points during the course, students will receive questions/ prompts from the instructor; students will reply to this prompt with own statements, examples, arguments and interpretations; students are encouraged to also interconnect responses and relate to the posts of other students and relate to material beyond the class; keep an academic etiquette but clearly lay out and justify own positions

Delivery format: participation in class; participation in blog discussion, blog posts for prompts

You hand in: (nothing due on final date but continuous contributions during coursework)

ADAPTS Accommodation

Any student who feels that he/she may need an accommodation for any sort of disability, please make an appointment to see the instructor during office hours. Students with disabilities should also contact Access Disabled Assistance Program for Tech Students (ADAPTS) to discuss reasonable accommodations. For an appointment with a counselor call (404) 894-2563 (voice) / (404) 894-1664 (TDD) email dsinfo@gatech.edu or visit Suite 123 in the Smithgall Student Services Building.

For more information visit the following website: <http://www.adapts.gatech.edu/>

Goals

The projected learning outcomes of this course are:

- Gain familiarity with seminal readings and works in the fields of interactive narrative, generative art/coding, and interaction design.
- Demonstrate comprehension, application, and justifications of theoretical knowledge when creating digital media artifacts.
- Demonstrate the ability to design, create, and assess digital media artifacts and contextualize them within theoretical frameworks, combining humanities and computation to “make with meaning.”

Textbooks

There is no single textbook/ all readings are online. One book worth exploring might be: Bogers, Loes, and Letizia Chiappini, eds. 2019. *The Critical Makers Reader. (Un)Learning Technology*. Amsterdam, NL: Institute of Network Cultures. (available online)

Labs and Technology

Friday sessions are lab sessions hosted by TA Takeria Blunt. They will provide introductions to the three main technologies used in this course: P5.js, and Arduino. Students will be expected to install the necessary software and purchase the necessary hardware.

We will use a blog to support discussion and create an evolving debate on our topics. While this blog is only within the Tech firewall, it is possible that future students and other people can see these posts. Please be aware of that.

Feel free to post anonymously, use pseudonyms, or – if you want to stay within Canvas: post on the Discussion board there. This will not affect any grade. We want to protect your privacy. Here is the blog: <https://classblogs21.iac.gatech.edu/lmc6310/>

Likewise, please let the instructors know if you would prefer your contributions and projects to be excluded from future references (e.g. as examples for students in future classes or as examples in scholarly events such as workshops or talks).

Schedule

(adjustments are bound to happen)

	Field/ question/ method	
8/23	How did we get here?	
8/25	Critical Practice Discussion of syllabus	Ratto/ Hertz
8/27	Lab	
8/30	Narrative intro (Prompt)	Rettberg Aarseth Prompt
9/1	Narrative at Work	Dunne/ Raby Winner (Hartman)
9/3	Lab	

9/6	Labor Day	
9/8	DUE: Narrative media idea (presentation of your concept in class)	
9/10	Lab	Target: Tech deliverable I
9/13	Work on project Examples: Interactive Stories (presented by students)	Sample selection will be provided you sign up online
9/15	Work on project DUE: Narrative media prototype	
9/17	Lab	
9/20	Work on project	
9/22	DUE: narrative media project (in-class presentation and blog post)	
9/24	Lab	
9/27	Procedural media intro (Prompt)	Murray Wardrip-Fruin Prompt
9/29	Complicating procedurality: People and Data (in class groups/ discussion)	Nissenbaum O'Neill Escobar
10/1	Lab	
10/4	Examples: procedural media (presented by students)	Sample selection will be provided - you sign up online
10/6	DUE: procedural project idea (in class mini presentation)	
10/8	Lab	Target: Tech deliverable II
10/11	Fall Break	
10/13	Work on project DUE: procedural prototype	
10/15	Lab	
10/18	Work on project	
10/20	DUE: procedural project (in class presentation and blog post)	
10/22	Lab	
10/25	Performance, Material, and Us (Prompt)	Schechner Barad (Anderson)
10/27	Complicating performance: critters and people	Haraway Bell

10/29	Lab	
11/1	Complicating material and people	Gatt/ Ingold Kember/ Zylinska De La Bellacasa? (Klefeker/ Devendorf) (Nimkulrath)
11/3	Examples: Perils of Digital Performance (presented by students)	Sample selection will be provided - you sign up online
11/5	Lab	
11/8	DUE: performative media project idea (short in-class presentation)	
11/10	Work on project (small group meets in parallel)	
11/12	Lab	Target: Tech deliverable III
11/15	Work on project (small group meets in parallel)	
11/17	Work on project (small group meets in parallel)	
11/19	Lab	
11/22	Work on project (small group meets in parallel)	
11/24	DUE: technical prototype (informal)	
11/26	Lab	
11/29	Work on project (small group meets in parallel)	
12/1	Thanksgiving Break	
12/3	Thanksgiving Break	
12/6	Work on project (small group meets in parallel)	
12/2	DUE: project presentation (in-class) continued	
12/4	DUE: project presentation (in-class) (Course review discussion) DUE: documentation (substantial blog post on final project)	
12/7	Last possible day of classes	

Grading and Main Deliverables

Assignment	Deliverable and key criteria	% of final grade
Example presentation	<p>Students will present a particular example related to a specific format; the presentation is two-fold: 1) a in-class presentation (~15 min) on the piece, its history, context, how it works, and your assessment of it in relation to the discussion in class 2) a substantial post (600 words or more) on this piece, including links, images, embedded videos, whatever media explains the piece to us</p> <p>How clear was the project breakdown? Did you use materials efficiently (slide design, use of images, use of video)? Was the presentation well delivered? Did you develop your own critical stance toward the project (e.g. visible in questions you might ask the piece) Ability to answer question in q&a? Coherence and argumentation of the blog post Are there references to external sources with links?</p>	15%
Narrative media project	<p>Students will develop individual projects over stages: idea presentation, discussion in small groups and with instructor(s), informal prototype, and final presentation in class; they will also post a substantial blog post for each project (including images, descriptions, design thinking, relations to class discussions and topics, link to prototype/ code/ videos/ images</p> <p>Did you integrate the discussions and problems identified in class in your design thinking? Did you use the artifact to continue that exploration (do you ask an interesting question/ pose a challenge/ destabilize an assumption)? Did you implement the artifact well (technically, visually, interface, experience)? Did you work well with others? Did your post reflect your critical thinking?</p>	15%
Procedural media	<p>Students will develop individual projects that exemplify procedural media use; as before, projects develop over idea presentation, discussion in small groups and with instructor(s), informal prototype, and final presentation in class; students will also post a substantial blog post for their project (including images, descriptions, designs, relations to class discussions and topics, if possible: link to prototype/ code and other documentation as well as external references</p> <p>Did you integrate the discussions and problems identified in class in your design thinking?</p>	15%

	<p>Does the project present an interesting approach to procedural media qualities?</p> <p>Did you ask an interesting question/ pose a challenge/ destabilize an assumption?</p> <p>Did you implement the artifact well (technically, visually, interface, experience)?</p> <p>Did you work well with others?</p> <p>Did your post reflect your critical thinking?</p>	
Performative media project	<p>Students will form small teams to develop and implement a digital media prototype addressing issues of performance; the process and critical reflections mirror those of the first two projects but will require more expansive answers (e.g. the blog post should offer a substantial project reflection of around 800 words; it should include images of both the making and design process as well as the final result), the final presentation and the blog post should connect the project to at least to readings; the project video should be a clear description of the project for anyone lacking prior knowledge</p> <p>In addition to the usual project requirements: a short YouTube style video (~ 2 min) that explains the project's nature, evolution, and results</p> <p>You hand in: >10 images of the project in process; >10 images of final project; all development materials (ppts, design docs, sketches); video – all on Canvas (please indicate if it is NOT ok to re-post your project video as MN might post it openly – this will not affect your grade)</p>	35%
Participation	active in discussions, active in example sessions; all prompts submitted and creative tackling of the prompts and online conversations as well as in class discussions	20%

Grade breakdown:

100-90% = A

89-80% = B

79-70% = C

69- = D

Grading of individual pieces will be in percentage

Late submissions lead to automatic reductions of the grade unless a valid excuse is provided. Any 1 day delay, meaning anything after 5pm of the due day, will have 10% reduced from the grade; any 2 day delay will have 20% reduced, 3 day delays will not be accepted.

The Honor Code of Georgia Tech applies (see <http://www.honor.gatech.edu/>).

Workload

Students are expected to work not only in class but also outside regular class meeting times on projects. The class is in-person but we will use online mechanisms (blogs, Teams). The course will ask students to design and implement a concluding mediated hybrid and performative project. This will require actual implementation of the concepts.

This course assumes students' familiarity with e.g. Photoshop, Office, and other collaborative and design-based tools.

What to do if you fall behind or are stressed

Your health is more important than this class. Please inform the instructor of any issues or challenges and do not hesitate to reach out.

Coursework can be demanding and everybody can encounter challenges sometimes. There are many reasons, such as an illness or family emergencies, that might affect focus and studying conditions. If this happens to you, come and see the instructor about it as soon as possible to make alternate arrangements for work that has been missed, and continue coming to class.

If you encounter more pressing difficulties, anxieties, or mental health challenges, then please let the instructor know but also turn to the support we have in place at the Institute. This includes the Counseling Center (<https://counseling.gatech.edu/>) and CARE (<https://care.gatech.edu/>).

Inclusivity Statement

The Ivan Allen College of Liberal Arts supports the Georgia Institute of Technology's commitment to creating a campus free of discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, or veteran status. We further affirm the importance of cultivating an intellectual climate that allows us to better understand the similarities and differences of those who constitute the Georgia Tech community, as well as the necessity of working against inequalities that may also manifest here as they do in the broader society.

Attendance

This is a synchronous online class and student attendance to all sessions is expected. The general rule is that more than 3 unexcused absences automatically mean that the student has failed this class.

These are special circumstances that might involve unforeseeable challenges for all participants in this course. Although attendance remains required, students are most warmly encouraged to reach out and discuss challenges in attendance or related issues with the instructor and discuss possible adjustments. Please do so pro-actively before lack of attendance can become an issue.

References

(selection)

Aarseth, Espen J. 1997. *Cybertext: Perspectives on Ergodic Literature*. Baltimore, London: The John Hopkins University Press.

Bal, Mieke. 2017. *Narratology. Introduction to the Theory of Narrative*. 4th edition ed. Toronto, CAN, Buffalo, NY, London, UK: University of Toronto Press.

Barad, Karen. 2003. "Posthumanist Performativity: Toward an Understanding of How Matter Comes to Matter." *Signs* 28 (3):801-831.

Bang, Anne Louise, Jacob Buur, Irene Alma, Lønne Nimkulrat, and Nithikul Nimkulrat, eds. 2015. *Tangible Means. Experiential Knowledge Through Materials Proceedings of EKSIG 2015* Kolding, DK: Design School Kolding.

- Bogers, Loes, and Letizia Chiappini, eds. 2019. *The Critical Makers Reader. (Un)Learning Technology*. Amsterdam, NL: Institute of Network Cultures.
- Bogost, Ian. 2007. *Persuasive Games: The Expressive Power of Videogames*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Butler, Judith. 1990. *Gender Trouble*. New York: Routledge.
- Dada, Maria. 2019. "The Counter-Testimony of the Maker." In *The Critical Makers Reader. (Un)Learning Technology*, edited by Loes Bogers and Letizia Chiappini. Amsterdam, NL: Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences.
- DiSalvo, Carl. 2012. *Adversarial Design*. Cambridge, MA/ London, UK: MIT Press.
- Dunne, Anthony, and Fiona Raby. 2013. *Speculative Everything. Design, Fiction, and Social Dreaming*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Escobar, Arturo. 2018. *Designs for the Pluriverse. Radical Interdependence, Autonomy, and the Making of Worlds*. Edited by Arturo Escobar and Dianne Rocheleau, New Ecologies for the Twenty-First Century. Durham; London: Duke University Press.
- Gatt, Caroline, and Tim Ingold. 2013. "From Description to Correspondence: Anthropology in Real Time." In *Design Anthropology: Theory and Practice*, edited by Wendy Gunn, Ton Otto and Rachel Charlotte Smith, 139-158. London; New York: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Galloway, Alexander. 2006. *Gaming. Essays on algorithmic Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minneapolis Press.
- Haraway, Donna J. 2006. "A Cyborg Manifesto: Science, Technology, and Socialist-feminism in the Late Twentieth Century." In *The International Handbook of Virtual Learning Environments*, edited by Joel Weiss, Jason Nolan, Jeremy Hunsinger and Peter Trifonas, 117-158. Dordrecht, NL: Springer.
- Hayles, Katherine N. 2008. *Electronic Literature. New Horizons for the Literary*. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Ingold, Tim. 2009. "The Texility of Making." *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 34:91-102.
- Introna, Lucas D., and Helen Nissenbaum. 2000. "Shaping the Web: Why the Politics of Search Engines Matters." *The Information Society: An International Journal* 16:169-185.
- Klefeker, J. and Devendorf, L. "String figuring: A story of reflection, material inquiry, and a novel sensor." In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems* (Montreal, CAN, 2018). ACM, New York, 2018.
- Kember, Sarah, and Joanna Zylinska. 2012. *Life After New Media. Mediation as a Vital Process*. Cambridge, MA; London, UK: MIT Press.

- Manovich, Lev. 2001. *The Language of New Media*. Cambridge, MA; London: MIT Press.
- Montford, Nick. 2003. *Twisty Little Passages. An Approach to Interactive Fiction*. Cambridge, MA/ London: MIT Press.
- Murray, Janet H. 1997. *Hamlet on the Holodeck. The Future of Narrative in Cyberspace*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- O'Neil, Cathy. 2016. *Weapons of Math Destruction. How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. New York: Crown Publ.
- Ratto, Matt. 2011. "Critical Making: conceptual and material studies in technology and social life." *The Information Society: An International Journal* 27 (4):252-260.
- Ratto, Matt, and Garnet Hertz. 2019. "Critical Making and Interdisciplinary Learning: Making as a Bridge between Art, Science, Engineering and Social Interventions." In *The Critical Makers Reader. (Un)Learning Technology*, edited by Loes Bogers and Letizia Chiappini, 16-28. Amsterdam, NL: Institute of Network Cultures.
- Rosner, Daniela. 2018. *Critical Fabulations. Reworking the Methods and Margins of Design*. Cambridge, MA; London: The MIT Press.
- Ryan, Marie-Laure. 2001. *Narrative As Virtual Reality. Immersion and Interactivity in Literature and Electronic Media, Parallax: Re-Visions of Culture and Society*. Baltimore; London: The John Hopkins University Press.
- Schechner, Richard. 2002. *Performance Studies. An Introduction. Second Edition*. New York, London: Routledge.
- Schechner, Richard. 2003. *Performance Theory*. New York: Routledge.
- Wardrip-Fruin, Noah. 2009. *Expressive Processing. Digital Fictions, Computer Games, and Software Studies*. Cambridge, MA; London: MIT Press.
- Winner, Langdon. 1980. "Do Artifacts have Politics?" *Daedalus* 109 (1):121-136.